

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
09/863,794	05/23/2001	Kenneth A. Krupa	KRU-3.2.001/3430	9780		
35437	7590 02/08/2005	EXAMINER				
	VIN COHN FERRIS GLO	LU, KU	LU, KUEN S			
666 THIRD AVENUE NEW YORK, NY 10017			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
	•		2167			

DATE MAILED: 02/08/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
09/863,794	KRUPA, KENNETH A.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Kuen S Lu	2167		

		Kuen S Lu	•	2167			
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover she	et with the d	correspondence add	ress		
THE RE	PLY FILED 18 November 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THI						
1. ⊠ Th ap ap Re	e reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to filing plicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) plication in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appropriate for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance periods:	g a Notice of Appeal. an amendment, affid peal (with appeal fee)	To avoid aba avit, or other in complianc	ndonment of this app evidence, which place e with 37 CFR 41.31;	es the or (3) a		
b) 🗖	event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f)	isory Action, or (2) the da an SIX MONTHS from the ONLY CHECK BOX (b)	e mailing date o WHEN THE FI	f the final rejection. RST REPLY WAS FILE	OWT NIHTIW O		
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL							
wa Ap	e reply was filed after the date of filing a Notice of App is filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 4 peal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 Cpeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the MENTS	1.37 must be filed wit CFR 41.37(e)), to avo	thin two mont id dismissal d	ths of the date of filing of the appeal. Since a	the Notice of		
(a)	he proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, They raise new issues that would require further co They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo	nsideration and/or se			because		
(c)	They are not deemed to place the application in being appeal; and/or	tter form for appeal by			the issues for		
(a)	They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1		er of finally re	ejected claims.			
4 □ ті	ne amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		tice of Non-C	ompliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).		
	pplicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s				(1 102 02 1).		
6. 🔲 N	ewly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a enon-allowable claim(s).		in a separate	, timely filed amendm	nent canceling		
ho Th Cla Cla	or purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) we the new or amended claims would be rejected is proper estatus of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: aim(s) allowed: aim(s) objected to: aim(s) rejected: 7-25.			rill be entered and an	explanation of		
	aim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
8. 🔲 Th be	VIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE e affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, b cause applicant failed to provide a showing of good an d was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).						
en sh	e affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing tered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to do owing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessal	overcome <u>all</u> rejection ry and was not earlier	s under appe presented.	al and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(ils to provide a 1).		
	he affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation	on of the status of the	ciaims aπer	entry is below or attac	cnea.		
	he request for reconsideration has been considered by	ut does NOT place the	e application	in condition for allowa	ince because:		
	Iote the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). Other: See Continuation Sheet.	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-	-1449) Paper	No(s)			

Application/Control Number: 09/863,794

1. This is a continuation of PTO-303.

Art Unit: 2167

Application No. 09/863,794.

Page 2

November 10, 2004, the Applicant's proposed amendments filed on November 18,

2. Adopting the Examiner's suggestion during the telephone interview conducted on

2004, is acknowledged. However, the new issues "wherein the identifier identifies the

XML document against another XML documents" introduced to amend the finally

rejected claims 7 and 17-18, and "incrementing the sequence identifier subsequent to

creating the row of the relational database" introduced to amend the finally rejected

claims 13 and 16, require a new search, as set forth in the interview, which is more than

a cursory review by the Examiner, please see MPEP ξ 714.13.

3. Based on he above consideration, regarding Applicant's Remarks, the Applicant's

arguments have been considered carefully, however, the rejections is maintained as set

forth on the Final Action 6/21/2004.

Kuen S. Lu

Patent Examiner

February 6, 2005

Luke Wassum

Primary Examiner

February 6, 2005